ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ನಾನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ನನ್ನ ಒಬ್ಬನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ. ಅನೇಕ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆಲ್ಲ ಉತ್ತರವೇ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ನಮಗಿರತಕ್ಕ ಹಕ್ಕನ್ನು ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will look into it and inform him.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ (ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣ)—. ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ನನ್ನದೊಂದು ಅರಿಕೆ ಇದೆ...

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ದಿವಸದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಹೇಳಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ದಿವಸದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಲಾಪಗಳ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಈ ಒಂದು ದಿವಸದಲ್ಲೇ ಚರ್ಚೆಮಾಡಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಉಳಿಯುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮ ಮುಂದಿನ ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ನಾಳೆ ಬಡ್ಜೆಟ್ ಪ್ರೆಸೆಂಟೇಷನ್, ನಾಡದ್ದು ರಜ. ಈ ದಿವಸ 3 ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ 1 ಗಂಟೆ, $1\frac{1}{4}$ಗಂಟೆ ಮತ್ತು $1\frac{3}{4}$ ಗಂಟೆಗಳ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ದಿವಸದ 3 ಬಿಲ್ಲುಗಳ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮುಗಿಯಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾಲ್ಕನೇದು ದೊಡ್ಡಬಿಲ್ಲು. ಇದಕೆ $2\frac{1}{2}$ ಗಂಟೆ ಆದರು ಸಾಲದು, ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಈ ನಾಲ್ಕನೇ ಬಿಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಮುಂದಿನ ದಿವಸಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಕಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವೇ ಎಂತ ಕೇಳಲು ನಿಂತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಇದು ಅನೇಕರಿಗಿರುವ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri T. SURRAMANYA (Minister for Development and Local Self-Government).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table Notification No. DRL 40 RPA dated 9th February 1961 (Amendment to the Mysore Panchayats and Taluk Boards Election Rules, 1959) as required under section 246 of the Mysore Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959.

MYSORE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1961.—*Introduction*

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—(Minisiter for Finance).—Sir, I beg to introduce the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961, is introduced.

Motion to Consider

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—I beg to move

"That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961, be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961, be taken into consideration."

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—Sri, I want to say a few words.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You want to consider this.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—The Chair is correct. I know that there is a convention, but in spite of that the circumstances have forced me to speak a few words, not a lengthy speech. I know that we should not discuss.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not stifling discussion. The Appropriation Bill is as good as any other Bill and he is entitled to speak, but a convention has developed that nobody speaks on the Appropriation Bill and if the contents have already been discussed there is no question of fresh discussion. Under the circumstances I fail to understand what there might be in the nature of new things.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈಗ ಈ ಅಪ್ರೊ಼ಪ್ರಿಯೇಷನ್ ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ಪೀಕರರವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನೂರಕ್ಕೆ ನೂರರಷ್ಟು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕಡೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಒಂದು ಕನ್‌ವೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಇದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ Futher discussion ಯಾರೂ ಮಾಡುವಧಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ನಾನೂ ಸಹ Further discussion ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರಾಗಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಸಾರಿ discuss ಮಾಡದೇ convention establish ಮಾಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಪದೇಪದೇ ಸುಳ್ಳು ಬಜೆಟ್ ತಂದು. ಸರ್ಪ್ಲಸ್ ಬಜೆಟ್ ಎಂದು ಅಂದುಕೊಂಡು, ಈಗ 22 ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿ ಎಂದು ಬೇಡುವುದು ಇಂಥಾದ್ದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಪಂಚದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಸರಕಾರವೂ ಮಾಡುವದಿಲ್ಲ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸರಕಾರ ಈ ರೀತಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಮುಚ್ಚುಮರೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಸರ್ಪ್ಲಸ್ ಆಗಲಿ ಡೆಫಿಸಿಟ್ ಆಗಲಿ ಇರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ಬಜೆಟ್ಟನ್ನು ದೇಶದ ಮುಂದೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಇಡುವ ಒಂದು ಕನ್‌ವೆನ್‌ಷನ್ ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಲಿ. ಒಂದು ವೇಳೆ ಹಾಗೆ ಮಾಡದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ನಾವು ಇಂಥ ಗ್ರಾಂಟುಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರ ತಪ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕು. ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಬಜೆಟ್ಟನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರ ಈ ಸಭೆಗೆ ಪ್ರೆಜೆಂಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ನನ್ನ ಈ ಎರಡು ಮಾತು ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know whether this House has got a right to discuss the Appropriation Bill or not. Whatever may be the convention. whether this matter can be allowed to be discussed or whether it should be straightway put to vote, I want to know whether we have got a right to discuss this or not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have already said that the Appropriation Bill is just like any other Bill, but a convention has developed where by no discussion takes place, but if Members insist that they have something to say in the case of the Appropriation Bill, it is not for the Speaker to stifle it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—In 1958-59 and in 1960-61 you allowed it to be discussed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have told that this is just like any other Bill and it may be discussed as any other Bill, but at the same time there is a convention that such Bills should not be discussed and it is taken for granted that the Speaker puts the Bill to the House straightway and it is accepted or rejected, as the case may be. I never said that it has not being discussed. If Members want to discuss it is a different matter.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I have to discuss certain things in this Appropriation Bill. I feel that I am entitled to discuss it, under rule 174 of the Rules of Procedure without transgressing the conditions prescribed in the sub-clauses. A convention cannot override a specific rule of law.

In this Bill there are several demands that have been made by the Finance Minister.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He wants to speak on the Bill itself.

† Sri V. S. PATIL.—Sub-rule (6) of rule 174 says that if an Appropriation Bill is in pursuance of a supplementary demand in respect of an existing service the discussion shall be confined to the items constituting the same and no discussion shall be allowed on the original demand nor the policy underlying it save in so far as it may be necessary to explain a particular item under discussion. The present disussion of this Bill is governed by this sub-clause and I feel we are entitled to discuss it.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is another point that Members cannot discuss a matter which has been already discussed in the House. That is the general rule.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—I will take up only such matters that have not been discussed here.

Sri B. K. PUTTARAMIYA.—We have already set up a convention and my Party will not discuss this.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Let not the Leader of the Opposition be unkind towards the other Members of the P.S.P. We respect him as the Leader of the Opposition. If he is not willing to discuss because there is a convention, let him say so and we will agree, but let him not say that the P.S.P.would not take part in this.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is a matter which they can discuss and settle between themselves.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—You take the proceedings of last year. You have allowed discussion on the Appropriation Bill. So, how can the Speaker or the Leader of the Opposition say that this is the convention and there was no discussion on this Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is my ruling. I have made it clear. It is for them to settle the matter.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—This Bill is not an ordinary Bill of any other type. This Bill involves an expenditure of Rs. 16 crores. Therefore, this is a very important Bill. Therefore, I request the Speaker to give two days for it.

MS. SPEAKER.—He has a right to ask for more time. Let Sri V. S. Patil speak.

† Sri V. S. PATIL.—So far as the Demands for Supplementary of Additional Grants and the Appropriation Bill that is brought forward are concerned, these matters are governed by articles 202 to 204 and 206 of the Constitution. When the supplementary or additional demands were made, there was some discussion here regarding what should be the procedure, etc., but at that time it was pointed out that in cases of

(Sri V. S. PATIL)

Supplementary Demands for Additional Demands, the procedure of laying before the Houses the financial statement as described in Art. 202 must be followed. That is what is clearly stated in Art. 204. But in the case of these Demands, no clear or even approximate financial statement has been placed before this House to understand from where these 16 or 18 crores of rupees are going to be taken. I am raising this point under the Constitution. The whole provision of Article 202 applies to this also and this House is entitled to know from the Government from where this additional expenditure they are going to take and if they have not supplied this information, I think it is a dereliction of duty on the part of the Government.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Does he think that this is a new point? It has been urged by Sri Mallaradhya. He asked a definite question. 'where do you get this big amount of Rs. 16 crores'. This question has already been discussed.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—But still it is not a mere observation. It goes to the root of the matter because it is a technical objection. It is more in the nature of a point of order.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Agreeing that it goes to the root of the matter, it has already been discussed.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—What is the result of the discussion?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Finance Minister has replied.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—We are not prepared to leave this matter in the hands of the Government. You should protect us to see that every provision of the Constitution is followed. We as Members of this House are entitled to get all the information from the Government and they cannot withold it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN —Something is unconstitutional. The point can be raised at any stage.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If I can allow him to raise these things, there will be no end to these. All these points have been raised.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—How a point of order cannot be raised?

Mr. SPEAKER.—A point of order can be raised on points of law; but let him not think that there can be any point of order on a point of fact.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—When there is a constitutional point, is it not desire that the Government should look into it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—May I point out the reason? They make the speech and get away and when the reply is given, none of them will be here most of them will not be here with the result that they don't here the reply from the Government.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The Minister read out something saying that there is likely to be some saving. I said that it is not correct. Even at the stage of submitting the Appropriation Bill for the consideration of this House, they could make a very clear statement as to what is the source from which this extra expenditure is met. It is a constitutional necessity and they are obliged to make a statement with regard to that matter.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That stage is already gone.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—The next point which I should like to refer to is that in Demand No. 13 there is provision for publishing "Panchayatiraj" in Kannada.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This is all settled.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—It has been granted. May I know whether Kannada is the only language in the State? I want to know why 'Panchyatiraj' is not being published in other languages also.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He can raise these point when general discussion on the budget comes up. Why should there be a diversion from the conventions of this House?

Sri R. M. PATIL.—If my friend wants it to be publihed in any other language in a particular area, why should he not direct say or request the Government, instead of beating about the bush.

Sri V. S. PATIL.—Beating is not my business. My business is to request the Govrnment. As they are publishing it in Kannada, it should be published in other languages so all the people in the State may take advantage.

One more thing In Demand No. 20, in the case of secondary education, Government have sanctioned free ship to students coming from poorer families whose income is less than Rs. 1200, etc.

I am not touching that point. But, this scheme was sanctioned in March 1960 and still only one instalment has been paid. How is it possible for these institutions to run the school? They have paid only for June, July and August and for the remaining nine months they have not paid at all. Sir, such kinds of delays are hampering the private bodies who are running these high schools.

Then, Sir, Demand No. 48—Rakashop water supply scheme. Sir, what is to be done actually for the rehabilitation is not done? Only one lakhs is asked here and it is not at all sufficient. They could have asked for some more money. They ought to have done what is really necessary for rehabilitating these people. I have approached the Chief Minister, the P.W.D. Minister and others. Before submerge, the affected people should be rehabilitated at some other place. But the delay has become so dangerous in the case of these people, that in spite of the good wishes which the Hon'ble Ministers spoke to me, nothing has been done. So, I should like to bring to your notice and

(Sri V. S. PATIL)

request the Government that those who have lost their houses, must be provided with houses immediately.

Lastly, Sir, a Bill to increase our special allowances was passed long ago and it was assented to by the Governor in April 1960 and it became law. After this, two sessions were held. Why this was not brought before the House in those two sessions and brought only now is the point I want to know. Why did they not do it in proper time? Sir, these are the points which I felt, should be brought to your notice before giving consent to the appropriation Bill.

† Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Field).—Sir, I shall be very brief. The point which is not touched during the course of this discussion is the way in which the Government have treated the Bangalore Corporation. The question is important because it is the Corporation of the premier City. Sir, it is the primary responsibility of the Government to give adequate importance to the development of Bangalor . It cannot be treated on the same footing as any other Municipality. Adequate attention has not been given on the other hand, there is gross negligence on the part of the Government, even though repeated requests have been made by the Corporation Authorities—I refer to the mileage cess, etc. In lieu of the mileage cess and other cesses, the compensation is sought to be set off in terms of this Appropriation Bill.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Narasimhan, should confine himself to mileage cess; He need not speak about the development of Bangalore.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Sir, if you do not want me to speak, I will sit down. Every other Member talked about the whole demand and when it came to me, I am not allowed. I cannot understand why specifically when I stand, I should be pulled up, even though I am always within the rule; whereas all others talk even when they are ten miles away from the point and they are allowed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Anyhow, it is much better for the Members to confine themselves to certain items which are mentioned in the Supplementary Estimates; otherwise, the whole thing becomes so wide and it becomes a general discussion on the Budget itself.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Then, I will sit down Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961 be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now clauses. The question is:

"That clauses 1, 2, 3, the Title and Preamble and the Schedule of the Bill stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 1, 2, 3, the Title and the Preamble and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

Sri T. MARIAPPA.—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961 be passed."

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question is:

"That the Mysore Appropriation Bill, 1961 be passed".

The motion was adopted.

THE MYSORE TENANCY LAWS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1961.

Motion to consider.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to move :

"That the Mysore Tenancy Law (Amendment) Bill, 1961 be taken into consideration."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved :

"That the Mysore Tenancy Laws (Amendment) Bill, 1961 be taken into consideration '.

† Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Sir, no elaborate speech is necessary in support of this measure. Certain enactments were due to expire on 31st December 1960 and so an Ordinance had been promulgated and this Bill is introduced to replace the Ordinance. Sir, Hon'ble Members are aware that the Land Reforms Bill, discussed in this House, was referred to the Joint Select Committee. I said on a previous occasion that I will be presenting the report of the Joint Select Committee before the end of this month. Therefore, until the Land Reforms Bill is enacted into law, there is need to continue the existing enactment.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—The Hon'ble Minister said that the present enactment should be continued untill the Land Reforms Bill becomes an Act. It is said that this will be done before 31st March 1961. Will it be enforced within that date?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes, I think so.

† ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಇಂತಹ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಎಷ್ಟೋಸಾರಿ ಖಂಡನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಈ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ನಮಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಕೆಲವು ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿಗಳನ್ನು ಆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾವು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೂ ನೀವು ಒಪ್ಪುವ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್ ಇರುವ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಬಿಲ್ ಪಾಸ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಹೊರಡುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಇಂತಹ ಆರ್ಡಿನೆನ್ಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಬೇಡಿ ಎಂದು ನೂರಾರು ಸಾರಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೂ ನೀವು ಕೇಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮಗೇ ಬೇಜಾರು